

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/479,810	06/07/1995	JOHANNES G. BEDNORZ	YO987-074BY	YO987-074BY 8594	
7	590 05/19/2006		EXAM	INER	
IBM CORPORATION			KOPEC, MARK T		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY LAW				
P O BOX 218			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			1751		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	08/479,810	BEDNORZ ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Kopec	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	706.07(f). It on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da).	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a even if timely filed
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 April 2006</u>. A brief date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl <u>AMENDMENTS</u> The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowater). They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 	any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 by must be filed within the time period but prior to the date of filing a brief, onsideration and/or search (see NO bw); after form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rej	7(e)), to avoid dismis od set forth in 37 CFR, will not be entered b TE below); ducing or simplifying	sal of the 41.37(a). ecause
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims would be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: See CON sheet. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: See CON sheet. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 73-76,82,83,377. 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co): Illowable if submitted in a separate, t(s): a) will not be entered, or b) rejected is provided below or appe	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	ut before or on the date of filing a Nord Id sufficient reasons why the affidate a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	vit or other evidence is date of filing a brief, al and/or appellant fai	s necessary and will <u>not</u> be ils to provide a

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: ____.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The 1.132 Declarations of Georg Bednorz and Dennis Newns have been considered. The Declaration of Dr. Georg Bednorz details his work as an "experimental scientist" in the filed of solid-state science, and his work leading to the discovery of layered perovskite-like CuO-compounds. The Declaration of Dr. Dennis Newns sets forth his views on the "predictability in theoretical solid state science" (para 7-10) and provides a discussion of the Schuller article (of record).

The examiner respectfully maintains that the field of high-temperature superconductivity is an unpredictable one. The cited articles (PTO-892 mailed 10/20/05) clearly disclose such.

For the reasons of record, the examiner respectfully maintains that the instant disclosure does not enable one of ordinary skill to make and use the invention as now recited in claims 11-72,77-81,84-112,115-122,126-134,139,141-150,152-156,158-166,170,171,175,176,180-184,187,188,192-195,198-212,217-219,222,223,227-230,232-257,261-263,266-268,271-275,278,279,283-286,289-295,303,308-310,313,314,318-376 and 379-501 without undue experimentation..

Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner

Application/Control Number: 08/479,810

Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims allowed are 113,114,123-125,135-138,140,151,157,167-169,172-174,177-179,185,186,189-191,196,197,213-216,220,221,224-226,231,258-260,264,265,269,270,276,277,280-282,287,288,296-301,304-307,311,312,315-317,502-507 and 511-515. Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1-72,77-81,84-112,115-122,126-134,139,141-150,152-156,158-166,170,171,175,176,180-184,187,188,192-195,198-212,217-219,222,223,227-230,232-257,261-263,266-268,271-275,278,279,283-286,289-295,303,308-310,313,314,318-376 and 379-501.

Mark Kopec Primary Examiner Page 2